



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/535,452	05/19/2005	Miki Ogawa	03500.017774	7240
5514	7590	08/30/2007	EXAMINER	
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			DEO, DUY VU NGUYEN	
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK, NY 10112			1765	
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
08/30/2007		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/535,452	OGAWA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Duy-Vu N. Deo	1765	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 August 2007.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) 3-17 is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1,2 and 18-22 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) 3-17 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on 19 May 2005 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-89)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/15/06, 5/19/06.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1, 18, 19, 21, 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lehrer (US. 4,420,365).

Lehrer describe a method for forming a mask comprising: forming, exposing, and developing a photoresist layer 3 (col. 2, line 22-52), the steps of exposing would provide a plurality of columnar members and region surrounding the columnar members, and the developing step would remove the columnar members from the resist to form a porous material having a columnar hole (please see Wolf cited below for a typical process of photoresist); introducing a precious metal layer 7, which is Au (claimed mask material) into the columnar hole of the photoresist (figs. 3B; col. 3, line 20-52).

Referring to claim 18, the exposing step would also form the columnar substances, which are formed to contain a first components are dispersed in a member, which are so formed to contain a second component that can form a eutectic together with the first component (please see Wolf cited below for a typical process of photoresist that form illuminated and non-illuminated areas). The method further

Art Unit: 1765

comprises removing the photoresist to form a mask 7 and dry etching the layer 2 using 7 as mask, and removing the mask (col. 3, line 20- 24, 38-42, 59-67).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lehrer.

Referring to claim 2, the amount of the second material would have to depend on the density of the device being fabricated on the substrate. Therefore, one skilled in the art would obviously determine the amount of the second material through routine experimentation in order to provide optimum columnar holes for the fabrication of the devices on the substrate.

5. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lehrer as applied to claim 18 above, and further in view of Vogeli et al. (US 5,131,954).

Even though Lehrer describes depositing the metal layer 7 by electroless deposition. However, electro or electroless deposition of metal such as gold is well known and equivalent at the time of the invention was made as shown here by Vogeli (col. 9, line 1-9). Therefore, in the absent of unexpected result, using either deposition would have been obvious to one skilled in the art because they both successfully facilitate the deposition of metal.

6. Wolf et al. is cited to show prior art (pages 407-408).

Election/Restrictions

7. Applicant's election with traverse of the method claims 1, 2, 18-21 in the reply filed on 8/13/07 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that it would result a burden on the Patent and Trademark Office if the product claims are filed in another application. This is not found persuasive because applicant has not responded and traversed the reason set forth for the restriction in the paper sent 7/11/07.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duy-Vu N. Deo whose telephone number is 571-272-1462. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nadine Norton can be reached on 571-272-1465. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Duy-Vu N Deo
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1765

8/22/07

DV